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Value-Added (General)

What is value-added analysis?
Value-added analysis measures the academic progress of a group of students with a district, school, or teacher from year to year. It uses a student’s own academic performance across years, grades, and subjects as a basis for determining whether groups of students have made academic growth. Because so much data are used, the growth measures are typically not related to students’ socio-economic status or other personal characteristics that often confound more simplistic achievement-based measures.

To dampen the error of measurement from any one single test, value-added analysis uses all student test data (STAAR 3–8, NRT such as Stanford/Aprenda or Iowa, and STAAR EOC) simultaneously within the calculation.

There are several different “value-added analysis” methods. HISD uses the value-added system called Education Value-Added Assessment System, or SAS® EVAAS®, which was developed by Dr. William L. Sanders. Dr. Sanders was a senior research fellow with the University of North Carolina system and a senior manager of value-added assessment and research for SAS Institute Inc. in Cary, North Carolina.

To learn more about how value-added analysis is completed using the EVAAS methodology, download one of the following publications:

For a more technical audience:

For a description tailored to the lay person with less statistical background:
www.sas.com/govedu/edu/wrightsandersrivers.pdf

For which teachers, grades, and subjects are there EVAAS data?
EVAAS value-added measures are calculated for teachers of students in grades 3–8 for reading, math, and language arts; for teachers of students in grades 4–8 for science and social studies; and for teachers of students enrolled in courses with the STAAR end-of-course (EOC) exams (English I, English II, Algebra I, Biology, and U.S. History).

What exams are used to calculate EVAAS?
EVAAS uses both STAAR and NRT (such as Stanford/Aprenda and Iowa/Logramos) test results to calculate growth measures. The results are displayed in Normal Curve Equivalents for STAAR assessments in reading in grades 4-8 and math in grades 3-8. Results are displayed in scale
scores for STAAR assessments in reading in grade 3, science in grades 5 and 8 and social studies in grade 8. Results from the Iowa/Logramos Assessments are displayed in scale scores. Reports are also provided for STAAR-EOC (End-of-Course) assessments for high schools and for middle schools that administer those assessments.

Value-added reports are generated for the following grades and subjects based on the assessment indicated:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td>NRT</td>
<td>NRT</td>
<td>NRT</td>
<td>NRT</td>
<td>NRT</td>
<td>NRT</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td>STAAR</td>
<td>STAAR</td>
<td>STAAR</td>
<td>STAAR</td>
<td>STAAR</td>
<td>STAAR</td>
<td>Algebra I</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>STAAR</td>
<td>STAAR</td>
<td>STAAR</td>
<td>STAAR</td>
<td>STAAR</td>
<td>STAAR</td>
<td>English I</td>
<td>English II</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>NRT</td>
<td>NRT</td>
<td>NRT</td>
<td>NRT</td>
<td>STAAR</td>
<td>Biology</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Studies</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>NRT</td>
<td>NRT</td>
<td>NRT</td>
<td>NRT</td>
<td>STAAR</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>U.S. History</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What versions of the STAAR tests are used in the analysis?

Only the regular versions or the linguistically accommodated version of STAAR or STAAR EOC were used. The one exception is that the linguistically accommodated version of the STAAR assessments (STAAR L) was not used in the analysis for STAAR Math in Grades 3-8 in 2014-15 but will be used in analyses in future years. STAAR Alternate 2 was not used in the analysis for 2014-15 and will not be used in future years. STAAR Accommodated was not used in the analysis for 2014-15 but will be used in analysis in future years.

The Commissioner of Education said the Math 3–8 STAAR for 2014-2015 was not going to be used for accountability. Why is there a Math Value-Added analysis?

Results from the STAAR 3-8 Math assessments were used to calculate value-added measures, and those measures will be included in the ASPIRE award calculations. These measures were included so that we could award teachers of math students in grades 3–8 for their efforts. However, the Math 3–8 calculations will not be included in the Teacher Appraisal and Development tool, since the Math 3–8 STAAR was not used for accountability for this year.

How will changing the Norm-Referenced Test (NRT) from Stanford/Aprenda to Iowa/Logramos affect EVAAS analysis?

The value-added reports for Iowa/Logramos are built on a regression-based model in which the growth measure is based on the difference between students’ predicted achievement and their observed achievement. The predicted achievement is based on students’ prior testing history, and these prior test scores do not have to be on the same scale. In other words, Iowa/Logramos test scores do not have to be on the same scale of the Stanford/Aprenda test scores. The important thing is that there is a predictive relationship between Iowa/Logramos and Stanford/Aprenda test scores, such as 2014 Stanford Math Grade 4 and 2015 Iowa Math Grade 5.

In the reports provided for Stanford/Aprenda, the growth of each teacher’s students was compared to the average growth of students statewide. In the reports for Iowa/Logramos, the growth of each teacher’s students will be compared to the growth of students in the average
teacher’s class in the district. For this reason, teachers may notice a big difference, since the comparison is now with the district instead of with the state.

For more information, please see Value-Added and the New Norm-Referenced Test (NRT) in the Value-Added Support Resources section.

What are the required test properties for EVAAS to be able to use a test?
Tests must possess the following three properties:

- Be highly correlated to curricular objectives;
- Have sufficient stretch to show differences among students at both the lower and the higher ends; and
- Be sufficiently reliable and produce consistent results.

Why can’t 3rd grade science and social studies teachers receive EVAAS reports?
Although the Iowa/Logramos Assessments included science and on social studies in 1st and 2nd grade, we only have one year of these data. The Stanford/Aprenda tested 1st and 2nd grade students on “environment,” which does not have a sufficiently strong relationship with other tests in later grades to be able to use these results in the analysis.

What happened in the EVAAS analysis with the off-grade testers (7th–8th grade math and Algebra I)?
Starting in 2012, there were differences in the test population of 7th and 8th grade math students. Many accelerated 7th grade students actually tested at the 8th grade level, essentially making them function within the analysis as 8th grade math students with no prior consecutive testing history in math. This means they carry less weight in the analysis of 8th grade math, and the 7th grade math analysis lost a significant number of his/her students. At the same time, many high-achieving 8th graders were also removed from the analysis at 8th grade because they switched to Algebra I and took the EOC exam, instead of the 8th grade math STAAR exam. Campuses are still able to receive analyses for 7th and 8th grade math using the MRM and for Algebra I using the URM. Modifications were made for teachers of these students to ensure that they could also receive the appropriate analyses (see page 13).

How are changes in the timing of the administration of the standardized tests handled?
HISD provides EVAAS with the NRT (like Stanford or Iowa) data and the state test (like STAAR) data every year. Within each grade and subject, the standardized assessments are generally administered at the same time throughout the state (for STAAR testing) or Houston (for NRT testing).

Does HISD use data from the first or second test administration?
HISD uses data from a student’s first test administration for EVAAS analysis. Using the student’s first test administration provides a fairer comparison to ensure a more valid value-added measure. Additionally, many students who do not score well on the first test administration receive intensive intervention between the first and second test administration. This would create an unfair
Does value-added analysis take into consideration the circumstances of individual students (i.e., socio-economics, demographics, etc.)?

At the student level, by including all of a student’s testing history, each student serves as his/her own “control” in value-added analysis. This means that the EVAAS analysis measures students’ progress over time and compares the group’s performance in the most recent year to their own prior performance. The amount of data analyzed also accounts for extenuating circumstances that might affect measurement from any one single test.

EVAAS® uses all student test data simultaneously within the calculation, which minimizes measurement error. Therefore, to the extent that socio-economic status or demographic influences persist over time, they are already represented within the student’s own data that is used to measure the growth in his/her academic achievement from one year to the next. This means there is no need to adjust for these influences. This approach has been confirmed through research by other leading experts in the field who are independent from EVAAS researchers.

What about students who are chronically absent?

It is important for the district, schools, and teachers to be responsible for all students and to be held accountable for getting students to come to class in whatever way they can. In HISD, the policy decision was made to not include student absence/attendance in the calculations or in the student-teacher linkage process because we are all accountable for all students enrolled in our district.

When a school provides twice the instructional time to students in a subject, is that accounted for in the analysis?

EVAAS reporting takes into account the percentage of instructional time that each instructor has for each student in a particular subject/grade/year. Through the student-teacher linkage system on the ASPIRE portal each spring, teachers are asked to assign percentage of instruction to their students. If a teacher is the only one responsible for teaching a particular student, the teacher is “credited” with 100% of the instruction. If two or more teachers share instruction of a student, each teacher is “credited” with the appropriate percentage of instruction (for example, 50% of instruction each).

In terms of the length of time (one hour versus two hours, for example), however, that is ultimately a policy decision by the schools (how much time is allocated for each subject). The analysis does not take into account the length of time for each block, based on the assumption that all schools are making instructional decisions to best serve the needs of their students.

Is it possible for teachers and schools to show academic growth with all groups of students (e.g., gifted, special education, low achieving)?

Yes, it is possible for teachers and schools to facilitate high levels of progress with all groups of students. EVAAS is sensitive to individual students’ achievement levels. It measures student growth from the end of one year to the end of the next year, regardless of whether a student performs above, at, or below grade level. Keep in mind that each student serves as his/her own...
“control” in value-added analysis. This means that the EVAAS analysis measures a student’s progress over time and compares that student’s performance in the most recent year to his/her own prior performance.

If a teacher has a few struggling students in the classroom, will that impact his/her EVAAS analysis?
The EVAAS® methodology used in HISD uses students’ own individual performance as the basis for assessing academic growth from year to year. It measures student growth from the end of one year to the end of the next year, regardless of whether the student performs above, at, or below grade level. Each student serves as his/her own “control” in value-added analysis. The EVAAS analysis measures student progress over time and compares their performance in the most recent year to their own prior performance. So, if students have been “struggling” (or lower performing) for several years, those students would not adversely affect a teacher’s value-added measures. On the other hand, if students had historically performed well and had just begun struggling this year, those students would likely impact the value-added measures for the teacher.

How are value-added scores adjusted for students testing in Spanish or transitioning from Spanish testing to English testing?
All Spanish scores are “mapped” to an English scale. To make these adjustments, EVAAS® uses as much student data and information as possible, including NRT (like Iowa) and state (like STAAR) test data to create relationships between all students’ previous and current test scores. By doing this, the information tells us what students would have likely scored had they taken the test in English. The scales for the “Spanish to English” students can then be adjusted so that their progress is equivalent to that of the “English to English” students.

A more complete explanation can be found on the ASPIRE portal in the Value-Added Resources section, here.

What is the difference between the growth measure, the gain index, and the gain score?
A growth measure is a conservative estimate of students’ academic progress. For STAAR reading in grades 3–8 and STAAR math in grades 4-8, it is expressed in state NCEs using the current year distribution. For STAAR science in grades 5 and 8, STAAR Math in grade 3, STAAR Social Studies in grade 8, and the STAAR EOCs, the growth measure is expressed in scale score units specific to the scale of the test.

The gain index is the growth measure divided by the standard error. The gain index allows for the comparison of value-added measures based on different assessments. A positive gain indicates that the district, school, or teacher made more progress than the growth standard, whereas a negative gain indicates that less progress was made than the growth standard. The gain score (found on ASPIRE award notices) is used interchangeably with “gain index.”

What does standard error mean on my report?
Standard error is a measure of certainty. Larger standard errors mean there is less certainty about your students’ academic progress. The standard error is influenced by the number of total students in your analysis and how many scores each student has across years and subjects.
all other variables are the same, teachers with fewer students will have larger standard errors. Additionally, claiming a small percentage of instructional time for a student group effectively reduces the number of students in the analysis.

This makes sense from a practical standpoint. If you were going to buy a 10-pound bag of potatoes, but weren’t sure of the quality, would you prefer to test only one potato, or more? If you tested only one potato, you would be less certain of the quality of the bag (higher standard error). If you tested 30 potatoes, you would be more certain (less uncertain) of the quality of the bag (lower standard error). However, regardless of whether you tested one potato or 30 potatoes, you would still be pretty sure the bag was full of potatoes and not tennis shoes!

Accounting for the standard error helps to protect teachers from being identified as “ineffective” or as “effective” when more information is needed to determine the influence of the teacher. This also gives us more certainty when a teacher is classified as “effective” or as “ineffective.”

What is the minimum number of students used to generate a teacher EVAAS report?

In order to receive a value-added report, teachers must have linked a minimum of seven "effective" students per subject and grade level. An "effective" student is defined as one tested student (tested on the “regular” or “L” version of the STAAR) claimed at 100% time for the full school year. There are many ways a teacher might reach the minimum threshold of seven effective students. For example, a teacher might link seven tested students at 100% time for the whole school year, or 14 tested students at 50% time for the whole school year, or 70 tested students at 20% time for half of the school year.

Why can’t my value-added analysis be recalculated?

Once EVAAS analyses are completed, any re-analysis can only occur at the system level. What this means is that if we change information for one teacher, we would have to re-run the analysis for the entire district, which has two effects: one, this would be very costly for the district, as the analysis itself would have to be paid for again; and two, this re-analysis has the potential to change all other teachers’ reports.

During the teacher linkage period, a heavy emphasis is placed upon correct and appropriate linkage and the importance of the linkages provided. HISD’s Research department offers a number of work group opportunities during the linkage period in the spring to assist teachers, campus support team members, and principals in ensuring the most accurate linkages are provided. As long as a student is linked to your class for any time in the year, he/she will be included in the analysis if he/she tested in that subject and has the requisite testing history. The value-added analysis that is calculated reflects the students linked at the percentages for which those students were linked.
Why is my value-added measure different from the campus-level value-added measure if I am the only teacher at my campus teaching this subject and grade?

Generally speaking, there are several reasons why there may be a difference between campus-level and teacher-level measures, even if there is only one teacher of a specific grade/subject or course at a school. Students may be attributed to teachers at percentages lower than 100 due to claiming during the teacher linkage process, or due to student mobility during the school year. There is no “partial responsibility” for instruction in the school value-added model. But even if the teacher links all students at 100% for the entire school year, there may still be differences. With the predictive model, value-added analyses for teachers and schools use shrinkage estimation. EVAAS does this because the sample size used to provide a teacher value-added measure is usually smaller than the sample size used to provide a school value-added measure. In addition, there are different business rules for determining which students are used in value-added analyses at the campus level and at the teacher level. For example, the number of students and prior testing history required for inclusion in the model is different for the teacher model and the campus model.

What is “shrinkage estimation?”

Shrinkage estimation is built into EVAAS models to protect teachers from misclassification of their growth measures. The use of shrinkage estimation means that the models assume that teachers are “average” until the data show otherwise. Specifically, shrinkage estimation comes into play when a teacher has a smaller number of students or when there are more measurable differences among teachers of one grade/subject or course than another.
What’s New This Year?

Value-Added Colors are new this year.
The Value Added growth measures for all assessments are color-coded differently in 2015 than in previous years. Beginning in 2015, the reports for all assessments at all levels (district, school, and teacher) will be color-coded using the new colors (dark blue, light blue, green, yellow, and red).

Only One Year of Growth Measures on Value Added Reports for All Assessments in Grades 3-8
Because of the district’s transition to the Iowa Assessments, the Houston Independent School District decided that EVAAS reporting based on the 2014-15 school year includes value added measures based on that school year only, rather than up to three years of measures, for STAAR 3-8 in Math and Reading, STAAR Science in Grades 5 and 8, STAAR Social Studies in Grade 8, the Iowa Assessments in Language in grades 3-8, Science in grades 4, 6, and 7, and Social Studies in grades 4-8. For a full explanation of the transition to the Iowa Assessments, see the document titled Value-Added Measures and the New NRT on Houston ISD’s EVAAS login page (https://hisd.sas.com/).

Reporting for STAAR Math and Reading, Grade 3
Reports for STAAR Math and Reading in grade 3 are provided for schools and teachers using the predictive model (URM). Because the reference group used for the analysis is the district, it is not possible to provide district reporting for these assessments. Students’ prior scores from Stanford/Aprenda were used to generate a predicted score for each student for 3rd-grade STAAR Math and Reading. Because the Texas Education Agency will not release scale scores for the STAAR Math assessments in Grades 3-8 until the fall of 2015, the raw scores for math assessments have been converted to Normal Curve Equivalents (NCEs). As a result, the data in the reports for 3rd-grade math is expressed in state NCEs.

Reporting for Iowa Assessments
The 2015 reporting includes Value-Added reports for Iowa Assessments for schools and teachers. Because the reference group used for the analysis is the district, it is not possible to provide district reporting for these assessments. The data for the Iowa assessments is analyzed with the predictive model (URM). The reports include the following subjects and grades:

- Language in grades 3-8
- Science in grades 4, 6, and 7
- Social Studies in grades 4-7

The reports for these assessments follow the same color coding and effectiveness level rules as the STAAR assessments.
Redesigned Online Help
With the release of the 2015 reporting, users will find a new online help system, with more comprehensive content and user-friendly navigation. To access the new help, click the Help link at the top right of any page. Content related to the page you are currently viewing will be displayed. To the left, you will find a table of contents. From here, you can navigate to information about any EVAAS report, simple and accessible explanations of how the data is generated, and useful guidance for interpreting and using the reports effectively.

Value-Added (MRM Analysis)

What is an “MRM analysis?”
“MRM” stands for multivariate response model. This is a multivariate, longitudinal, linear mixed model. The MRM analysis can be used for tests given in consecutive grades, like the math and reading tests often implemented in grades three through eight. The MRM is a gain-based model, which means that it measures growth between two points in time for a group of students. The growth expectation is met when a cohort of students from grade to grade 3 maintains the same relative position with respect to statewide student achievement in that year for a specific subject and grade. A complete description can be found in the SAS® EVAAS® white paper entitled SAS® EVAAS® for K-12 Statistical Models.

When is the MRM analysis used?
For the 2014-2015 analyses, the MRM analysis is used in the following grade/subject combinations:

- Math Grades 4–8
- Reading Grades 4–8

Why the change on when the MRM is used?
The value-added reports for the STAAR assessments in science in grades 5 and 8, social studies in grade 8, and reading and math in grade 3, as well as the Iowa/Logramos Assessments, are built on a regression-based model in which the growth measure is based on the difference between students’ predicted achievement and their observed achievement. This model is also called the predictive model, or the URM. The URM model is used for all grades and subjects where the prior year(s) testing for a student was the NRT (Stanford/Aprenda). For more detailed information, please see Value-Added Transition to the Iowa Assessments.
How is EVAAS calculated using the MRM analysis?
EVAAS uses a multivariate response model (MRM), which is a “multivariate, longitudinal, linear mixed model.” It has been used since the district adopted EVAAS® in grades 3–11 for TAKS, STAAR 3–8, and Stanford/Aprenda data, and is still used for grades 4–8 Math and Reading. It is the preferred approach when the test data meet certain required conditions (see SAS® EVAAS® for K-12 Statistical Models).

For subjects that are tested in consecutive grades (e.g., 4th and 5th grade reading), a mean gain score is calculated for the most recent year using a statistical model that uses all student test scores. This gain indicates whether the students’ average achievement increased, decreased, or remained about the same relative to the state distribution for that grade and subject. These analyses are done specifically for each grade and subject (e.g., 4th grade reading, 5th grade reading, etc.).

In previous years, the teacher gain index was calculated based on a comparison of the teacher’s growth measure to the average growth of students districtwide. Beginning in 2013, the index is calculated based on a comparison of the teacher’s growth measure to the growth standard, which represents the average growth of students statewide.

What is an NCE? What is its relative advantage?
NCEs represent a distribution of scores in a population. EVAAS uses NCEs to measure achievement across time for groups of students. The mean of this scale is 50, and its standard deviation is 21.06. The NCE scale is similar conceptually to percentiles in that the range of possible scores is from 1 to 99. The key difference between percentiles and NCEs is that the NCE distribution is an equal-interval scale, which is not the case with percentiles. This characteristic of NCEs makes it suitable for comparing achievement levels across time for groups of students.

In a normally distributed population, if all students were to make exactly one year of progress after one year of instruction, then their NCE scores would remain exactly the same and their NCE gain would be zero, even if the difference in their raw scores (i.e. the number of questions they answered correctly) or scale scores were not zero. When a group of students makes more than a year’s progress in that time, the value-added report will display a positive gain expressed in NCEs, which means that those students have learned more, or at least have made more progress in the areas tested, than their peers statewide. Other students, while making progress in their skills, may progress more slowly than the general population and will show a net loss in their NCE ranks, which will display as a negative gain expressed in NCEs on the value-added report.

Are all NCEs the same, or are the ones used by EVAAS® different?
No, not all NCEs are the same. While NCEs are a common statistical construct, they only have meaning to the population for which they were constructed. Starting in 2012, EVAAS began mapping HISD’s annual state test data onto the current state distribution as a standard. In other words, the scale scores for STAAR tests in 2012 are mapped to NCEs using the state distribution in 2012.

How is EVAAS calculated if a student’s testing history is incomplete?
A common problem with using test scores is missing data. There are many reasons for this. For example, a student could move into the school district this year, a record could be lost, or a student
could be sick on test day. The EVAAS® MRM uses the correlations among multiple scores across years, grades, and subjects in the data we have to estimate the average achievement of the population of students served by a school or teacher in each grade and subject each year as if there were no missing data.

Students who have no standardized test scores for the year in which the analysis is conducted are not included the teachers’ value-added measure for that year. However, if a student has one or more test scores for the year in which analyses are conducted (for example, the student has math test data, but is missing reading data), the method described above can be used.

The EVAAS methodology uses up to five years of a student’s testing history to calculate the correlation between current and previous scores.

For more information, as well as an example, please see SAS® EVAAS® for K-12 Statistical Models on the SAS® website.

**How could our campus change from green to red when there were no dramatic differences in instructional practice?**

From 2007–2011, HISD used a “base year” approach to measuring growth with respect to the state distribution in 2006. The transition from TAKS to STAAR, as well as wanting an approach that would be more similar to the analysis that measures growth on EOCs, provided the impetus for HISD to move to an “intra-year” approach in 2012. HISD growth is now measured with respect to the state in the current year rather than to a single snapshot in time (which was how the state performed in 2006). For years, HISD has been increasing annually in growth compared to how the state did in 2006. However, for years the state has been increasing annually as well. We expected to see changes in the new reports, and as part of that, far more “red” across the district.
Value-Added (URM Analysis)

What is a “URM analysis?”
“URM” stands for univariate response model. Tests that are not given for consecutive years require a different modeling approach from the MRM, and this modeling approach is called the URM. This approach can be used for tests given in consecutive grades too. The statistical model can also be classified as a linear mixed model and can be further described as an analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) model. The URM is a regression-based model, which measures the difference between students’ predicted scores for a particular subject/year with their observed scores. A complete description can be found in the SAS® EVAAS® white paper entitled SAS® EVAAS® for K-12 Statistical Models.

When is the URM analysis used?
For the 2014-2015 analyses, the URM analysis is used in the following grade/subject combinations:

- Math Grade 3
- Reading Grade 3
- Language Arts Grades 3–8
- Science Grades 3–8
- Social Studies Grades 3–8
- All STAAR EOC exams (Algebra I, English I, English II, Biology, US History)

How is the EVAAS® URM analysis calculated for teachers of students who do not have consecutive grade/subject testing?
EVAAS® uses a univariate response model (URM), which is similar to what is called an “analysis of covariance (ANCOVA)” in statistics: student scores in a particular subject/grade/year serve as the “response” or “dependent” variable and these students’ prior scores in multiple subjects/grades/years serve as the “covariates” (predictor variables or independent variables). The URM model is similar to the model that EVAAS has been using to provide student projections (e.g., SAT and PSAT projections). EVAAS has been using the URM for STAAR end-of-course (EOC) exams (e.g., Algebra I, Biology, etc.) since the state began administering STAAR EOCs, and this year will be using the URM analysis model for additional grades and subjects (see above). The relationships between any previous grade/subject scores and the current scores are used to mathematically “predict” performance on the grade/subject in question. The growth measure is the difference between the predicted and the actual scores for the students.

The EVAAS® growth measure, also called the teacher effect, is a function of the difference between the observed score and the predicted score. The growth measure is divided by the standard error to calculate the teacher gain index. Beginning in 2013, EVAAS has been able to use data from a consortium of participating Texas school districts that allowed it to increase the distribution of scale scores and create a new reference group that includes multiple Texas districts. This allows HISD to compare itself to a larger growth standard that includes more students, teachers, and schools than just those found in HISD.
What are predicted scores, observed scores, and the teacher effect (in the URM analysis)?

The predicted score is what the analysis predicts that a group of students will receive based on all of their previous testing history. The predicted score displayed on a value-added report is the average of the predicted scores for all students in a district, school, or teacher's classroom. Previous testing history includes all subjects, grade levels, and tests; it could include STAAR Grades 3–8 reading, math, science, and/or social studies, prior EOC assessments (if any), and NRT (such as Stanford/Aprenda or Iowa/Logramos) Grades 1-8 reading, language arts, math, science, and/or social studies. For subject/grades that test in both STAAR and NRT, only the STAAR testing is used.

The observed or actual score is the average of just the scores on the specific test that the analysis is measuring.

The teacher growth measure is a function of the difference between the Predicted Score and the Observed Score.

Why doesn’t the teacher growth measure on my EVAAS report equal the predicted score minus the observed score?

EVAAS uses shrinkage estimation to protect schools and teachers, particularly those who serve small numbers of students, from the effects of outliers and other anomalies on the tests. You wouldn’t want this year’s performance to be compared to a student’s “lucky bubbling” from the previous year any more than you would want a student’s bad experience right before testing day for your class to influence your growth directly. In this protective stage of the analysis, students' scores are assumed to be closer to the middle until the evidence pulls them away, either above or below average.

More information on shrinkage estimation is available here, on page 4.

How can predictions be made on how a student will score on the EOC when the student has never taken that subject before?

EVAAS uses the relationships that exist between all tests in order to make a robust prediction of student achievement. There is a relationship between how a student performs in one subject and how that students performs in the other subjects. These relationships will be different strengths; for instance, it is likely that there is a stronger relationship between Algebra I and 8th grade math than between Algebra I and 7th grade social studies. However, the relationship is still there and is used by the analysis to make the best prediction of expected student achievement. A student’s predicted score takes into account his/her prior testing history as well as the average growth made by students with similar testing history. The predicted score assumes that each student will have the average schooling experience of students in the reference group.
What does the teacher report for teachers of students included in a URM analysis include?
The URM report is very similar to the MRM report. The subjects are reported separately and the reports include the number of students used in the analysis. Unlike the MRM report, the URM report displays the average student score and the average predicted score. These report scores are displayed in actual test scale units, not in Normal Curve Equivalent (NCE) units.

What is the minimum number of prior test scores a student must have to be included in the URM analysis?
A student must have at least three prior test scores from any grade and subject to be included.

Which EOCs receive EVAAS?
For the 2014–2015 school year, the following EOCs were used for EVAAS analysis: Algebra I; English I; English II; Biology; and U.S. History. In 2011–2012 and 2012–2013, additional EOC exams were used; however, the State of Texas voted to reduce the number of EOC exams required beginning in the 2013–2014 school year. EVAAS uses the five exams that are required by the state.
Value-Added (Teachers Receiving Both MRM and URM Analysis)

What will happen to the reports of teachers who taught 6th, 7th, and 8th grade students who tested above grade level?

At the campus level, high numbers of students who test above grade level may lead to no EVAAS report being possible for some campuses in 7th grade math. While the students who are included in these reports are still in the 8th grade report, teachers of only these students will also not receive value-added reports using the MRM because their classes do not have the required number of students with at least one prior consecutive math test score.

The district requested that EVAAS calculate the value-added results for these teachers using the URM. The URM does not require consecutive grade-level testing. If a teacher had at least seven tested students at a given grade and subject but those students were “off-grade,” the teacher can receive an EVAAS report using the URM instead, for just that grade and subject. The teacher gain index will be based on a combination of all of their grades in that subject as it is usually done, but it is composited across analysis methodologies in addition to being cumulative for all of their grades taught.

Student Progress Versus Student Achievement

What is the difference between student achievement and student progress or growth?

In education, the words “achievement” and “progress” are often used interchangeably. However, their meanings are very different.

**Achievement** is measured by the students’ performance at a single point in time and how well those students perform against a standard. Achievement has typically been measured by students’ performance on state tests (such as STAAR) — how well students perform in relation to state standards. Achievement measures a student’s performance at a single point in time. It compares the students’ performance to a set standard, and is critical to ensuring a student’s future academic success.

**Progress** is measured by how much gain or growth students make over time (i.e., year to year). If a student’s achievement level is measured annually using state tests (like STAAR) or nationally normed tests (like Stanford or Iowa), the student’s progress, or growth, can be measured. Progress is measured over time—at least two points in time. It compares students’ performance to their own prior performance, and is critical to ensuring a student’s future academic success.

Using both student progress and student achievement provides us with a more complete picture of student learning.
Last year, 50% of my students passed the test, and this year 80% of my students passed, but my value-added measure is “at expectations” (or negative). Didn’t I show growth by having more students pass?

Not necessarily, no. Remember that passing percentages are an indication of how many students met the minimum standard, and are a measure of student achievement. EVAAS® is a measure of student growth. Student growth is estimated by comparing a student’s current performance to his/her own prior performance. So, just because more kids passed does not mean those kids grew as much, or even at all! It all depends on how those kids did, compared to themselves, from year to year.

Think of it this way. The standard for weight for the grade and subject I teach is 50 pounds. If I got a classroom of students who all weighed 45 pounds at the beginning of the school year, and at the end of the school year they weighed 48 pounds, they all failed to meet the standard, right? So, I had a 0% passing rate! The next year, I got a classroom of students who all weighed 56 pounds at the beginning of the school year, and at the end of the school year, they weighed 51 pounds. Every one of my kids met the standard, so I had a 100% passing rate. But who grew more over the course of the school year: the kids who failed to meet the standard, but increased in weight by 3 pounds each, or the kids who met the standard but decreased in weight by 5 pounds each?

Remember that EVAAS® is a measure of student growth—how much a child grows and changes/improves over time.

My students earn a perfect score every year. How can EVAAS show progress for me?

It is important to understand that value-added measures are not calculated at the individual student level due to the large amount of measurement error associated with any one student’s test score. In addition, the percentage of students who actually score a perfect score two years in a row on the state test (STAAR) and/or the norm-referenced test (like Stanford or Iowa) is minimal.
EVAAS®/Value-Added Frequently Asked Questions

EVAAS Reports and Data Usage

Which EVAAS reports are public?
All district and campus value-added reports, as well as scatter plots are made public, but not lower levels, like individual teachers and students, or diagnostic reports which are for instructional use.

How do I get access to EVAAS data?
District and campus value-added reports are available to the public through the ASPIRE portal. Access to teacher value-added measures and student-level data is determined through a set of business rules created by HISD.

How long has HISD been using EVAAS data?
HISD has been using value-added data since 2007 and has been providing ongoing professional development and support in the use of value-added information in our school improvement efforts to evaluate program quality and inform instruction. In addition, since 2007, the district has been using campus-and teacher-level value added data to award performance bonuses to teachers, administrators, and other campus-based staff through the ASPIRE Award Program. The HISD Board of Education has approved including value-added as one of 34 performance measures in its teacher evaluation system.

Which reports are most useful as a starting place for teachers?
Teachers should start with their own individual reports, which include the Value-Added and Diagnostic reports for the subjects and grades that they taught. Teachers also have the ability to look at individual students, as long as those students are enrolled at their campus, so that the teacher can use the Student Projection reports to inform instruction of their current students.

How do I interpret the campus and teacher reports?
HISD has been working in partnership with EVAAS® to create online professional development to continue to build teacher and administrator understanding of EVAAS® data and the various reports to inform instruction. At this time, the following training modules are available by logging on to the EVAAS® site (https://hisd.sas.com/) and clicking on e-Learning (upper right side of the screen):

- District/School Value-Added—Gain Model
- District/School Value-Added—Predictive Model
- Teacher Value-Added and Diagnostics
- District/School Diagnostics
- Student Reports (includes Student Projections)
- Discussing the EVAAS Teacher Reports
- Scatterplots

What is a “multi-year average?”
Note that multi-year averages are not available on the 2014–2015 value-added reporting for STAAR and Iowa assessments in Grades 3-8.

The multi-year average is the average across two or three years of value-added measures. With multiple subjects and/or years of data, there is more certainty about the effectiveness of a district, school, or teacher. Accordingly, the standard error for the multi-year measure is typically smaller.
than those for an individual subject/year. As a result, multi-year measures may differ from the simple average of single-year measures.

A multi-year measure will be more stable, given that we have more information across time, as well as that the standard error is smaller. “Stability” indicates the extent to which estimates remain at the same level over time—not at the exact same index or gain number. EVAAS recently researched the stability of teacher estimates and found that teachers identified as highly effective after their first three years of teaching were extremely likely to remain highly effective three years into the future. Furthermore, approximately half of teachers identified as ineffective based on three-year estimates will have improved in three years and be identified as average or above average in terms of their effectiveness. Please note this study has not yet been published.

Why aren’t multi-year averages available on the 2014–2015 value-added reporting?
Because of the district’s transition to the Iowa Assessments, the Houston Independent School District decided that EVAAS reporting based on the 2014-15 school year includes value added measures based on that school year only, rather than up to three years of measures, for STAAR 3-8 in Math and Reading, STAAR Science in grades 5 and 8, STAAR Social Studies in grade 8, the Iowa Assessments in Language in grades 3-8, Science in grades 4, 6, and 7, and Social Studies in grades 4-8. Users may still access multi-year measures for the STAAR EOC assessments. For a full explanation of the transition to the Iowa Assessments, see the document titled Value-Added Measures and the New NRT on the ASPIRE portal in the Value-Added Resources section.

If the “multi-year average” is a better measure, why isn’t that used for ASPIRE awards?
ASPIRE awards are calculated using single-year data because we are awarding teachers for student growth in a given year. In addition, the single-year data allows for adjustments to be made to what subject(s) a teacher is responsible for teaching and awards what they were doing in that single year. As an example, a teacher may have been teaching 4th grade reading and 4th grade math in year one. When value-added analyses were reviewed, the teacher and principal decided that the teacher’s reading growth was fantastic, but that the math growth was not. When the award was calculated for year one, it was based on both reading and math EVAAS. A decision might be made to make the teacher a 4th grade reading only teacher in year two. In year two, shouldn’t that teacher be awarded for just reading? This is why we use single-year estimates for ASPIRE awards.

What does it mean when a teacher has a value-added teacher gain index that is negative versus a gain index that is lower than -1?
When a teacher gain index is between -1 and +0.99, this indicates that the teacher’s students met the growth standard. This indicates that, the teacher’s effectiveness level was average, or about the same as most other teachers in the reference group. A teacher gain index less than -1 means that, on average, students taught by the teacher made less progress than the students of other teachers in the reference group.
Which students are included in the School or Campus Value-Added reports?
All students who tested on a campus in the 2014–2015 year are used to calculate the 2015 value-added estimates for the campus. On School Diagnostic reports, some students don’t have enough data to be included in an achievement group. For tests and subjects analyzed with the MRM, students must have a score in the selected subject in the most recent year and the previous year to be included. For tests and subjects analyzed with URM, students must have a predicted score to be included. Students with fewer than three prior test scores across grades and subjects will not have a predicted score.

There used to be a Teacher Reflections report on my EVAAS® report I found on the ASPIRE portal, but it’s not there this year. Where can I find that?
The reports available on the ASPIRE portal are primarily for archival purposes. Teachers should log into EVAAS to view a fully interactive report, which provides a plethora of additional information, including student data, teacher diagnostic reports, distributions of teachers, and more. We strongly encourage all users to access their value-added reports via the EVAAS site, as many new features have been added to the reporting. The teacher reports are more dynamic and bring together seamless navigation with collaborative information on one page. Teacher Reports can be used to support teachers’ growth as practitioners and facilitate professional dialogue.

To do so, go to https://hisd.sas.com/ and follow the instructions for logging on to the EVAAS site. Under the “Reports” link in the upper left-hand corner, look for the “Teacher Effectiveness Reports” to see your enhanced teacher and diagnostic (or “reflection”) reports.

Why are there different numbers of students used in my Teacher Value-Added report and my Teacher Diagnostic report?
A teacher’s value-added report includes all of the students to whom the teacher was linked for any portion of instructional time (through the ASPIRE portal during the Linkage and Verification period) and who have a test record in that subject for that year. However, some students don’t have enough data to be included in an achievement group on the Diagnostic report. For tests and subjects analyzed with MRM, students in the Diagnostic report must have a test score for this subject in this year and in the prior year for this subject. If a student does not have a test score for both this year and the prior year in the subject measured, that student’s results are not shown in the Teacher Diagnostic report. For tests and subjects analyzed with URM, students must have a predicted score to be included. Students with fewer than three prior test scores across grades and subjects will not have a predicted score. The Teacher Diagnostic report is most often a subset of the total number of students used in the Teacher Value-Added report. Viewing your report on the EVAAS site allows you to see which students were used in the diagnostic analysis.

How are student projections determined?
EVAAS® considers prior test scores for the student across years, grades, and subjects to generate each projection. A natural relationship exists among subjects; EVAAS® takes advantage of these relationships to generate more reliable student projections. A minimum of three prior scores (across grades and subjects) is required for a student to have a projection. EVAAS® then looks at how students with similar testing histories performed to determine the expected performance.
of the student. The projected district percentile shows what the student is most likely to score on the assessment if the student makes average progress between now and the time the test is administered.

How can student projections help us and the student?
The projections show the probability of the student reaching each of the state performance levels, or the student’s probability of reaching meaningful scores on the selected test. This can help us to determine which students need additional support, to prepare targeted interventions for at-risk students, and to ensure that students are placed into appropriate courses.

Is there research on the impact an individual teacher has on student learning?
There is indisputable research that shows that teachers matter most when it comes to student achievement. Specific research has been conducted to better understand exactly how much impact teachers can have on students. Some key findings:

- Students who had very effective teachers for three years in a row were able to improve their performance on standardized tests by more than 50 percent in comparison to students who had three ineffective teachers in a row (Sanders and Rivers, 1996). A similar study conducted in Dallas ISD using a different student assessment and value-added methodology found similar results.
- A teacher’s impact on student learning lasts up to four years (Sanders, 2005). See also The Long-Term Impacts of Teachers: Teacher Value-Added and Student Outcomes in Adulthood (http://www.rajchetty.com/chettyfiles/value_added.pdf).
- As teacher effectiveness levels increase, lower-achieving students are the first to benefit (Sanders and Rivers, 1996).
- If a student has an ineffective teacher for two years, this decrease in progress cannot be made up (Rivers, 1999).

How can I have a high value-added measure last year but not this year, when I did nothing different?
EVAAS analysis estimates the influence the teacher had on a specific set of students during a given year. If your results change from one year to the next with a different student group, consider these questions:

1. Could the curriculum and instruction have been better suited to the needs of the student group I had this year?
2. Did any other changes on the campus influence students’ academic growth? Things like scheduling changes, instructional support, intervention, and student population can all influence the students’ academic growth.

How can EVAAS information help teachers improve teaching and learning?
In combination with formative diagnostic data, value-added information allows educators to assess their impact on student learning and can help initiate conversations about the effectiveness of curriculum, instructional practices and programs. Value-added information also allows educators to better identify what is working well and areas for improvement to help
individual students and groups of students. Above and beyond the summary information, diagnostic information is provided through the EVAAS site that is appropriate for educators.

**What can I do to improve my EVAAS measure?**

Evidence shows that when teachers and schools collaboratively focus on students as individuals and provide the targeted curriculum and instruction that meet each student’s learning needs, student progress accelerates. Measuring intra-year progress and using that information in formative ways to ensure that all students are making progress is critical. Involving students in the process of setting instructional targets and measuring their growth also has been proven effective. Using value-added and other supportive data sources can help HISD educators target areas for professional growth. Finally, working collaboratively with colleagues on instructional practices and engaging targeted professional development are essential.
EVAAS, HISD, and the A&D System

What are the advantages of including EVAAS® data as part of the Teacher Appraisal and Development System?

Nothing matters more to student success than teachers. Having great teachers in the classroom is one of the single most important things we can do at HISD for meeting the needs of our students and preparing them to graduate on time and to be successful in college, careers, and life. EVAAS® data measure how well schools and teachers are doing in accelerating the academic progress of their students. EVAAS® data, when used with other measures, provide a more complete picture of performance.

Why is EVAAS weighted so heavily for appraisal purposes?

In accordance with state law, HISD’s new teacher-evaluation system was developed at the campus level through the Shared Decision Making committees and at the district level through the District Advisory Committee. Taking into account recommendations from these committees, working groups that included teachers and administrators came up with proposals for the numbers used in appraisals.

For the 2015 appraisal, the three TADS components have the following weights within teachers’ Summative Appraisal Ratings:

- Instructional Practice: 50%
- Professional Expectations: 20%
- Student Performance: 30%

The various types of student performance measures have different weights within the student performance final rating:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure Combinations</th>
<th>Measure Weights ↓</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VA + CG + Student Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value-Added</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparative Growth</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Progress</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Performance Subtotal</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Value-added data is never the sole measure of student performance, and is never weighted at more than 20% of the teachers’ appraisal.
Linkage Questions

Why is my linkage different from what I submitted in May?
Your campus support team, including your principal, has a duty to ensure that the final linkages that are submitted to EVAAS for value-added analysis and used for other growth analysis measures are as accurate as possible. This means that sometimes the linkages you provide have to be changed. For example, if a student was inadvertently left off of a teacher’s roster, the campus support team has a duty to ensure all students at the campus appear on the correct rosters for the correct months. Conversely, if instruction was shared and one or more of your students were claimed by two or more teachers, your campus support team had a duty to correct those percentages. If any students are claimed for more than 100%, this must be corrected. If not, a mathematical calculation will automatically reduce the percent amounts proportionally before the data is sent to EVAAS. For instance, if three teachers claim the same student for the same subject at 100% time, the mathematical calculation gives each of the three teachers 33.3% of that student.

HISD makes every effort to ensure that teachers have all of the required information to provide the best student linkages for their students. During the Teacher Linkage Period, a heavy emphasis is placed upon correct and appropriate linkage and the importance of the linkages provided. HISD’s Research department offers a number of work group opportunities during the linkage period in the spring to assist teachers, campus support team members, and principals in ensuring the most accurate linkages are provided. As long as a student is linked to your class for any time in the year, he/she will be included in the analysis if he/she tested in that subject. The value-added analysis that is calculated reflects the students linked at the percentages for which those students were linked.